last three words.
WITNESS: Yeah, but there's some evidently
JUDGE MILLER: Oh, something below it?
WITNESS: Yeah.
JUDGE MILLER: All right. Okay. All right.
WITNESS: Something's down there.
JUDGE MILLER: Yes. All right.
MR. ALPERT: I had to get a copy directly from the
Secretary of State's office, and just if I can just clear this
up, just for the sake of clarity of the record
MR. KRAVETZ: Let's show it to counsel.
MR. ALPERT: Excuse me?
MR. KRAVETZ: Do you want to show it to counsel
first as a courtesy?
MR. ALPERT: I'm going to read it to you. A copy of
the identical document I received by fax from the Secretary of
State's office, the bottom legend reads in entirety, "Note:
Ohio law does not permit one officer to sign in two
capacities. Two separate signatures are required, even if
this necessitates the election of a second officer, before the
filing can be made.
JUDGE MILLER: All right. Okay.
BY MR. ALPERT:
Q Do you have any documents, whether it's the bylaws
or a stockholders agreement or any other documents, which in

1	any way restricts the ability of your son to act in any
2	capacity on behalf of the corporation, such as an employee of
3	the corporation?
4	A Shareholders agreement I believe is all we have.
5	Q Is there any provision in there which in any way
6	restricts the ability of him to work as a, as an employee of
7	the corporation?
8	A Well, since I am the sole director and officer, I
9	suppose I'd have to hire him before he could do that legally.
10	MR. KRAVETZ: Your Honor, is this a memory test or
11	can he show Mr. Wilburn I have no objection as long as
12	we're clear as to what he's saying.
13	JUDGE MILLER: The problem that's been bothering me
14	a little bit is the, the, is the vagueness of the question
15	that's being propounded, because I read "Bernard P. Wilburn
L6	further agrees that he shall not transact any business of or
L7	in the name of the corporation, nor bind or obligate the
18	corporation, that he shall not serve as employee, independent
L9	contractor, nor agent of the corporation."
20	MR. ALPERT: Actually, Your Honor
21	JUDGE MILLER: So I don't understand, I don't
2	understand what you're questioning him about.
23	MR. ALPERT: Actually, Your Honor, you're right. I
4	was after a question I had neglected to recall this
5	particular document. I withdraw the question.

1	BY MR. ALPERT:
2	Q How many hours were you intending to work at the
3	station in your original application, when you first filed
4	your application?
5	A For myself, a minimum of 20.
6	Q And your son was going to work?
7	A The same, the same.
8	Q Forty hours? Now were you going to continue to
9	practice law?
10	A I was intending even then to phase out of it, so,
11	no, eventually I'll get out of it anyway, whether or not I get
12	into the station.
13	Q Now, your son, would he, to the best of your
14	knowledge, engage in the full-time practice of law?
15	A He intends to continue. Did and does.
16	Q At that time
17	A Yes.
18	Q The 20 hours per week that he was going to work at
19	the station, it's your understanding that would have been in
20	addition to the 40-hour-per-week commitment that he has
21	A That's right, that's right.
22	Q with the legal profession?
23	A That's right.
24	Q The partnership. Now, there came a time when that
25	changed when you decided when it was decided that you were

1	going to be the sole person. Instead of 20 and 20 Is that
2	correct?
3	A That's correct.
4	Q And did someone advise you of that?
5	A Mr. Kravetz told us that.
6	MR. KRAVETZ: Question Once again the vagueness
7	of the question, advise, is that in terms of a directive or in
8	terms of
9	JUDGE MILLER: Well, rephrase the question and ask
10	him again.
11	MR. ALPERT: Okay.
12	BY MR. ALPERT:
13	Q At one point somebody advised you that it would be
14	beneficial to you to change that into one person having the
15	40-hour-per-week commitment. Is that correct?
16	A Well, I, I don't know if advised is correct. We
17	engaged Mr. Kravetz, we consulted with him, and of course we
18	knew we were going to do some amendments. And among them,
19	among the things that I think he recommended was it would be
20	better if one of us would become sole general manager of that.
21	Due to our personal circumstances, I decided that I should be
22	the one, because I was going to leave the law practice anyway.
23	So to say that he advised me to do it, I don't think it's a
24	correct statement. I decided to do it that way.

Okay. Was there ever any thought to you taking on a

1	40-hours-per-week commitment and your son maintaining his 20-
2	hours-per-week commitment?
3	A No.
4	Q And why was that, if there is a reason?
5	A Because we agreed that he should just be totally out
6	of it and devote his time to the law practice. I would get
7	out of the law practice, go to the radio station, that would
8	be it.
9	Q Okay. Now, if you were staying, though, continuing
10	in the law practice, in any event, couldn't he have
11	nevertheless continued his intention to start a commitment at
12	a radio station, work at a radio station?
13	A I suppose he could have, but he didn't.
14	Q Okay. In your direct case exhibit, in Exhibit 2 on
15	page 2, can you turn to that, please?
16	A That's in the
17	Q Your written testimony.
18	A Oh, deposition.
19	Q No, not your deposition, your written testimony.
20	A I don't have it before me.
21	JUDGE MILLER: Well, you don't have all There's
22	two exhibits, Exhibit Wilburn 1 and Wilburn 2.
23	WITNESS: I don't have them before me. And what
24	page was that?
25	BY MR. ALPERT:

1	Q I believe it was I said Exhibit 2 on page 2.
2	Actually, it starts at the beginning of the previous page.
3	A Okay, all right. I have Exhibit 2.
4	Q Okay. Now, do you see the top at the bottom of
5	page 1 and at the top of page 2 there's a sentence which
6	reads, "If the application is granted, I will also terminate
7	my current practice of law in Circleville, Ohio, and will
8	limit any other business activities to my commitment to the
9	station." Is that what it reads?
10	A That's what it says.
11	Q Okay. Now, here's my question. You referred to the
12	fact that you're fiduciary for certain other businesses a few
13	moments ago. Is that correct?
14	A Well, no. I
15	Q You did testify that you're a fiduciary at the
16	present time to certain other businesses. Is that correct?
17	A In connection with my law practice.
18	Q That's
19	A It's not a separate business, no.
20	Q Okay. But you're a fiduciary?
21	A Yes.
22	Q Right now? Now, when it says here that you would
23	limit your other business activity to with your, with your
24	commitment to the station, are those the other business
25	activities that you were referring to?

1	A No, because I include them as part of the law
2	practice.
3	Q Okay. What other business activities were you
4	referring to?
5	A None actually, because I don't have any other
6	business.
7	Q So what did you mean by this sentence, "I will limit
8	my other business activity "
9	A It's probably just a catch-all to say that I would
10	not engage in other businesses which would conflict with the
11	management of the station. In other words, it's a preventive
12	thing I presume. I don't have anything else that I would
13	label as a business.
14	Q Okay. What is your approximate current income at
15	your organization?
16	A It varies widely.
17	Q What During what Between what range has it
18	varied?
19	A Over the last 9, 10 years, since Bernard come in,
20	it's gone from a minimum of about 50 to a high of about
21	250,000 a year, in a year. It varies widely.
22	Q And that's based upon your income that you have
23	A The law practice.
24	Q Fees that have come in in a given year?
25	A Yes.

1	Q And that is your portion of the
2	A That's my, that's my half.
3	Q And your son has an equivalent
4	A He does. We share equally.
5	Q Okay. Now, in the event you prevail on this
6	application and you break away from the law practice, would
7	you continue to share in any way in the profits of the law
8	practice?
9	A Not in any way except, as I said before, rents that
10	would come to the building. That would not be sharing in the
11	fees at all.
12	Q Now, right now you and your son work together on
13	cases on occasion. Is that correct?
14	A Yes.
15	Q You are the senior, more experienced member of the
16	two, so to speak, and he is a younger, less experienced
17	attorney.
18	A Yes.
19	Q Are there any occasions which you would continue to
20	which you would continue to provide assistance to your son
21	in the event he needs assistance after such a time as you were
22	to leave the law practice?
23	A As far as, you know, going to the office and helping
24	him work on a case, no, I wouldn't be doing that. If he
25	called me on the phone and asked me a question, asked my

1	opinion, o	certainly I would give it.
2	Q	Well, in the event he'd need a co-counsel for a case
3	on some so	ort of short-term basis, you would refuse any request
4	that he mi	ight make?
5	A	Oh, yes. Yeah. As a matter of fact, we frequently
6	hire co-co	ounsel now.
7	Q	Because you can't do it or because you won't do it?
8	A	Because, because in a case where I do not feel
9	competent	, I hire co-counsel.
10	Q	On a case that you're competent to help act as co-
11	counsel?	
12	A	Then we don't hire outside counsel.
13	Q	In that case, would you continue to provide
14	assistance	e to him?
15	A	No.
16		MR. ALPERT: I have no further questions.
17		JUDGE MILLER: Mr. Yelverton.
18		MR. YELVERTON: Thank you, Your Honor.
19		CROSS EXAMINATION
20		BY MR. YELVERTON:
21	Ω	Mr. Wilburn, I'm Stephen Yelverton, counsel for Ohio
22	Radio Asso	ociates, Incorporated. Mr. Alpert asked you about
23	your incom	me from the law practice and you responded over the
24	past 9 or	10 years it had ranged from 50 some thousand to 250
25	some thous	sand. Is that correct?

1	A	Yes.
2	Q	What was your income from the law practice in 1992?
3	A	I don't remember, but I think around 75,000.
4	Q	And what was it in 1991?
5	A	I don't remember. It's probably 60 to 75. I don't
6	recall ex	actly.
7	Q	What years did you make 250 some thousand?
8	A	1993 has been the highest year.
9	Q	Excuse me?
10	A	1993. And the year is not over yet.
11		JUDGE MILLER: This year?
12		WITNESS: Yes, this year.
13		BY MR. YELVERTON:
14	Q	Okay. Also, I'd like to turn your attention to your
15	deposition	n of July 12th. Do you have a copy
16	A	I do.
17	Ω	before you? And I'd like to turn your attention
18	to page 1	8. And I believe you, you testified today in
19	response	to a question about turning over the practice to your
20	son Berna:	rd that it would be done in a 90-day period.
21	A	Well, that's
22	Q	Is that your testimony?
23	A	That would be an estimate on my part, yes, that it
24	would take	e 90 days to turn everything over, yeah.
25	Q	Is it not a fact that at your deposition in response

1	to questions about turning over the practice to Bernard that	
2	you said it would be a phase-out over a period of time?	
3	MR. KRAVETZ: Objection, Your Honor, to selective	
4	use of the deposition which improperly or incorrectly	
5	characterizes the question and the prior response.	
6	JUDGE MILLER: All right.	
7	MR. YELVERTON: Your Honor, I think I'll just go	
8	through the questions and answers.	
9	MR. KRAVETZ: On page where?	
10	MR. YELVERTON: Page 18.	
11	MR. KRAVETZ: The questioning in pertinent part and	
12	as to that specific question starts on page 17, and that's why	
13	I believe it's misleading to pull out that	
14	JUDGE MILLER: All right. All right.	
15	MR. YELVERTON: Okay. Well, let's start at	
16	JUDGE MILLER: In fact, this is a poor way to, to	
17	handle a deposition, Mr. Yelverton. Let's keep the witness	
18	show him a copy of his deposition. You know, you know the	
19	routine.	
20	MR. YELVERTON: Your Honor, I was going to just do	
21	that.	
22	JUDGE MILLER: Now, if you're going to, if you're	
23	going to use the deposition for any purpose, you're going to	
24	accord the witness the full rights of what, what's going on.	
25	MR. YELVERTON: Thank you, Your Honor.	

		5.0
1		BY MR. YELVERTON:
2	Q	Mr. Wilburn, were you deposed on Monday, July 12th,
3	1993?	
4	A	Yes.
5	Q	And did you review and read your deposition
6	transcrip	t?
7	A	I did.
8	Q	And did you sign any type of certification that
9	these	this these transcripts were true and accurate?
10	A	I did.
11		JUDGE MILLER: Do you have a copy of that deposition
12	before yo	u?
13		WITNESS: Yes, sir, I do.
14		JUDGE MILLER: All right, he has a copy before him,
15	so he doe	sn't need to be shown yours.
16		BY MR. YELVERTON:
17	Q	Mr. Wilburn, would you look at page 17?
18	A	Yes?
19	Q	Line 20 and 21. Were you asked a question, "Do you
20	intend to	withdraw from the practice of law?" Lines 20 and
21	21.	
22	A	Yes.
23	Q	And at line 22 bottom of page 17, lines 1 and 2 top
24	of page 1	8, did you give the answer, "Yes. I intend to
25	withdraw :	from the practice whether or not I get this license.

1	It is just a question of timing." Did you
2	A That's correct.
3	Q give that answer?
4	A Yes, I did.
5	Q Line 3, were you asked a question, "Do you intend to
6	get bought out?"
7	A Yes.
8	Q Did you give the answer on line 4, "From the
9	practice?" Line, line 4, "From the practice."
10	A Yes, as a question.
11	Q Line 5 you were asked a question, "From the
12	practice."
13	MR. KRAVETZ: Your Honor, this is one of the
14	problems. In fact, line 3 and line 5 were an interrupted
15	question with an interjection where the, where the respondent
16	supplied the second half of the question before the questioner
17	did. And I don't know whether this is clear to you, but it's
18	
19	JUDGE MILLER: It's not very clear to me, but
20	MR. YELVERTON: Well, Your Honor, this is just
21	leading up to, to the
22	JUDGE MILLER: Well, you know, you know, let me say
23	something to you. I think, you know, you're going to come
24	down to an answer, and I, and I'll tell you right now that I
25	don't think that answer conflicts with anything the man has

1	said here on the stand. So I don't know what you're using the
2	deposition for.
3	MR. YELVERTON: Your Honor, I'm not suggesting that
4	it conflicts. I am suggesting that he gave a, a, a different
5	answer and that At the deposition he gave a very ambiguous
6	answer and here he gave a very specific, and the more
7	JUDGE MILLER: Well, he probably didn't have a
8	judge. When you have a judge present, you get more specific.
9	WITNESS: Could I explain?
10	MR. KRAVETZ: Your Honor, if I, if I may question
11	about what kind of findings we're going to get from Mr.
12	Yelverton who says it doesn't conflict but it is different. I
13	don't know what the legal significance of that is.
14	MR. YELVERTON: Your Honor, all I'm saying is that
15	he gave an ambiguous answer at deposition.
16	JUDGE MILLER: Look, let's get this out of the road.
17	Read the question and answer, ask it Read the question and
18	answer and then ask him if that was the question he was asked
19	and ask him if that was the answer he gave.
20	MR. YELVERTON: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.
21	BY MR. YELVERTON:
22	Q On line 7 and 8 were you asked a question, "When you
23	say quote phase-out unquote, do you mean a period of time?"
24	A That was what I said, but can I, can I explain?
25	Would you allow me to explain?

1	JUDGE MILLER: I'll let you I'm going to let you
2	explain it.
3	WITNESS: Okay.
4	BY MR. YELVERTON:
5	Q Were you asked that question?
6	A Yes.
7	Q Lines 9 and 10, did you give the answer, "Just
8	gradually turn as soon as Bernard can take over the work and
9	keep going."
10	A Yes, I did.
11	Q You gave that answer?
12	JUDGE MILLER: Does that complete your what
13	you're doing?
14	MR. YELVERTON: Yes, Your Honor.
15	JUDGE MILLER: All right. Do you want to explain
16	that?
17	WITNESS: Yes. In the deposition, the context is
18	different. I was asked are you going to sell out and I said
19	no, just gradually phase out. It did not have a time context.
20	Here, at this hearing, I was asked specifically how much time
21	and I said I would say no more than 90 days. But this has the
22	context of are you going to sell out and I said no, I'm not
23	taking money from Bernard to buy that practice, I'll just
24	phase out, meaning I'm not going to sell it to him. The
25	context is different.

1	JUDGE MILLER: All right. The explanation's on the
2	record. Proceed, Mr. Yelverton.
3	BY MR. YELVERTON:
4	Q Mr. Wilburn, at the time of the deposition, did you
5	have in mind any period of time this phase-out would take?
6	A Well, again, I, I would think 90 days would be
7	sufficient, knowing our circumstances.
8	Q But my question, Mr. Wilburn, is at the time of the
9	deposition did you have a specific time frame in mind?
10	A I don't remember what my thoughts were as to time
11	frames because I was thinking turning over, phase out, as
12	opposed to sell out. So I don't remember that I was thinking
13	time right then.
14	Q At the time of the deposition, had you determined
15	beforehand how long the phase-out would take?
16	A No, I
17	Q Since the time of filing your application in
18	December 1991, have any efforts been made to hire an attorney
19	to assist Bernard Wilburn?
20	A No. That would be his job. He certainly knows
21	plenty of people he can hire.
22	Q But my question is have any efforts been made to
23	identify
24	A No. No, I haven't made any. I haven't made any.
25	Q There's been previous testimony that Bernard Wilburn

1	went to other files of some of the other applicants and
2	retrieved a copy of their EEO program. Do you recall when
3	this was done?
4	A I don't recall whether it was before December 31,
5	'91, or shortly after. It was right around the holidays,
6	Christmas, New Year's, December of '91 and New Year's '92.
7	Q It would not have been in February of '92?
8	A I Not that I I don't know. I didn't go, but
9	as I recall, it was sometime in January.
10	MR. YELVERTON: Your Honor, I have no further
11	questions.
12	JUDGE MILLER: Let me see if I understand what's
13	going on, Mr. Wilburn. And I See, I'm in kind of a
14	parallel situation. I was born April 24th, 1928, and I have
15	36 years in the law practice, with the government. There came
16	a time when you decided that it wasn't as much fun as it used
17	to be and you had decided that you were going to retire.
18	WITNESS: Yes, sir.
19	JUDGE MILLER: And you're going to retire Really,
20	you're going to you wanted to set up plans for your age 65,
21	so that you could ease out, but you wanted something to keep
22	you busy.
23	WITNESS: Right. I don't intend to retire, I intend
24	to change careers.
25	JUDGE MILLER: Right. But, but the change of

1	careers doesn't necessarily have to involve either equal or
2	greater financial remuneration, because you're in that
3	sense, you're retiring.
4	WITNESS: That's right.
5	JUDGE MILLER: And
6	witness: It undoubtedly will be less pay.
7	JUDGE MILLER: It'll be less than 250,000, I can
8	tell you that. But, so you've been making certain specific
9	moves and trying to fall into this general plan that you're
10	going to retire at age 65.
11	WITNESS: That's right.
12	JUDGE MILLER: Isn't that what you've been doing?
13	WITNESS: That's correct. That is correct.
14	JUDGE MILLER: And so, so when you're talking to
15	Mr. Embrey, you're probably going to if things work out,
16	you're going to hand him quite a bit of responsibility.
17	WITNESS: That's right.
18	JUDGE MILLER: But you'll be there if
19	WITNESS: I'll be in control.
20	JUDGE MILLER: If things go wrong, you'll be there.
21	WITNESS: Right.
22	JUDGE MILLER: If only as assistant manager.
23	WITNESS: That's correct.
24	JUDGE MILLER: Any redirect, Mr. Kravetz?
25	MR. KRAVETZ: Yes, Your Honor.

1	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
2	BY MR. KRAVETZ:
3	Q When you say you'll be there, I'm not talking about
4	your original plans prior to the corporate reorganization.
5	When you're going to be at the station, be there, at this
6	point in what capacity?
7	A At this point, my plan would be to be the general
8	manager and spend a minimum of 40 hours a week in that office.
9	Q Going back to your law practice and the time you
10	brought Bernard in, at that point it was Weldon and Wilburn?
11	A Yes.
12	Q And Mr. Weldon had either died or retired?
13	A He had been deceased for three years at that point.
14	When he died, Bernard started law school.
15	Q And after law school, Bernard came to work with you?
16	A Actually, yes, he worked with me even while he was
17	in law school for summertime.
18	Q And at that time, the law practice was all yours?
19	A Yes.
20	Q And subsequently, it worked out that Bernard gets 50
21	percent of the proceeds?
22	A He was on salary for either four or five years, at
23	which point we started splitting everything equally.
24	Q Does that mean you gave up control?
25	A Of the law firm?

1	Q	Of the law firm.
2	A	I don't know if I ever gave up control, but that's
3	the way w	we divide the profits. We haven't discussed
4	Q	No, what, what I'm asking is
5	A	Oh, yes, yes.
6	Q	He got 50 percent of the money that comes in.
7	A	Right.
8	Q	Did he have to purchase
9	A	No, no.
10	Q	You just at one point said okay, you get 50 percent
11	of the mo	oney?
12	A	Right.
13	Q	If there's a decision to be made, does he get 50
14	percent o	of the decision power on how the business is run?
15	A	He probably would say no, but that's the way I
16	intend it	; <b>.</b>
17		JUDGE MILLER: That's more of a father/son
18	relations	ship
19		WITNESS: Yes, it is.
20		JUDGE MILLER: than two attorneys, isn't it?
21		BY MR. KRAVETZ:
22	Q	So as far as he's concerned and really as far as
23	you're co	oncerned, as the father and as the elder and as the
24	original	partner, if it came down to it you'd call the shots?
25	Assuming	there's a difference.

1	A	Assuming there's a difference of opinion?
2	Q	Yeah.
3	A	On something?
4		MR. ALPERT: Excuse me, Your Honor. I'm going to
5	object.	It's a leading question.
6		JUDGE MILLER: I'll sustain the objection, Mr.
7	Kravetz.	
8		BY MR. KRAVETZ:
9	Q	In the event
10		JUDGE MILLER: Keep in mind Never mind. I, I get
11	tired of	saying this. I'm maybe doing you a favor.
12		MR. KRAVETZ: I was just thinking that, Your Honor.
13		JUDGE MILLER: Because, you know, one of the most
14	famous qu	estions on cross examination is now, assuming that
15	this happ	ened, would, you know And
16		MR. KRAVETZ: Your Honor
17		JUDGE MILLER: I've heard 1,000 of them.
18		MR. KRAVETZ: as part of my C element credit,
19	anyway.	
20		BY MR. KRAVETZ:
21	Q	Is there any restriction As an attorney now, you
22	have cert	ain fiduciary responsibilities. Is there any
23	restricti	on on your resigning as fiduciary?
24	A	No. There never would be.
25	Q	Is there any restriction other than a court's formal

1	okay tha	t you resign as from having a power of attorney?
2	A	A court would not be in control of that.
3	Q	Well, is there any restriction as far as
4	A	No, no.
5		JUDGE MILLER: The only time a court gets involved
6	is guard	lianships, right?
7		WITNESS: That's correct.
8		BY MR. KRAVETZ:
9	Ω	Now, you testified that you went to speak with
10	Ardeth F	rizzell?
11	A	Yes.
12	Q	And you asked her, and tell me if I'm characterizing
13	testimon	y accurately, what it cost her or cost to run the
14	station	that you eventually hope to obtain?
15	A	Yes, I did.
16	Q	And she gave you some figures?
17	A	Yes, she did.
18	Q	Did you simply adopt those figures or did you
19	A	No, I, I used them as a starting point and modified
20	them for	other costs which I knew I would have that they
21	didn't h	have and arrived at my budget.
22	Q	Now, you have a certain caseload and you've been
23	asked wh	ether or not you would be turning over these cases to
24	Bernard.	Will all of your cases continue for the indefinite
25	future	r will some projects be completed?

1	A	Well, of course, many, many of them have a
2		on date. Some, some, like these business enterprise
	1	
3	we talked	d about, the powers of attorney or guardianships, will
4	continue	indefinitely.
5	Q	So if you didn't go after new work, new cases, would
6	your case	eload decline in any event?
7	A	It, it could decline dramatically in, in 90 days,
8	yes. I'm	simply turning down cases.
9	Q	Are you, are you aware of any requirement that you
10	report to	the State of Ohio, Secretary of State, every
11	election	or every change of corporate officers?
12	A	The only, the only requirement that I'm aware of is
13	the annua	l filing of a franchise tax return, which they you
14	are requi	red to list the, the officers. That's the only
15	requireme	nt I'm aware of.
16	Q	Now, this, this income in 1993 that you talked about
17	being sub	stantially higher than your ordinary income?
18	A	Yes.
19	Q	Is that due to any special case or circumstance?
20	A	Yes. It was a personal injury case.
21		JUDGE MILLER: Negligence, right?
22		WITNESS: Negligence.
23		BY MR. KRAVETZ:
24	Q	So it was a one-time contingency?
25	A	Yes.

1	MR. KRAVETZ: No further questions, Your Honor.
2	JUDGE MILLER: All right. Now, let me just
3	Mr. Kravetz asked you about your whether your workload
4	would diminish. Now, when you're guardian, your guardianships
5	would terminate on the death of the person who you've been the
6	guardian.
7	WITNESS: Right, right.
8	JUDGE MILLER: And that could happen in
9	WITNESS: Anytime.
10	JUDGE MILLER: tomorrow or 25 years?
11	WITNESS: Sure.
12	JUDGE MILLER: You know powers of attorney can be
13	turned over at the election of a person who
14	WITNESS: That's right.
15	JUDGE MILLER: gives you the power. Wills, once
16	they're probated They may take a little while getting
17	probated, but once they're probated that ends that would
18	end your term
19	WITNESS: Right.
20	JUDGE MILLER: So your termination would be like any
21	other general practitioner's?
22	WITNESS: Right. That's correct.
23	JUDGE MILLER: Recross, Mr. Koerner?
24	MR. KOERNER: I think not, Your Honor.
25	JUDGE MILLER: Mr. Alpert?

1	MR. ALPERT: No questions, Your Honor.
2	JUDGE MILLER: Mr. Yelverton?
3	MR. YELVERTON: No questions, Your Honor.
4	JUDGE MILLER: Mr. Charles W. Wilburn, you're
5	excused and thank you for testifying.
6	WITNESS: Thank you very much.
7	MR. KRAVETZ: And I will ask for a brief recess to
8	get Bernard Wilburn.
9	JUDGE MILLER: Just a couple of minutes. Yeah,
10	we'll go off the record for a couple of minutes till he gets
11	his
12	(Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.)
13	JUDGE MILLER: Call your next witness, Mr. Kravetz.
14	MR. KRAVETZ: Your Honor, I call Bernard Wilburn.
15	JUDGE MILLER: Bernard Wilburn, raise your right
16	hand.
17	Whereupon,
18	MR. BERNARD WILBURN
19	having first been duly sworn, was called as a witness herein
20	and was examined and testified as follows:
21	JUDGE MILLER: Have a seat, sir.
22	WITNESS: Thank you.
23	JUDGE MILLER: Again, for the record, this witness
24	is being produced pursuant to notification and not because of
25	any direct testimony that he has given.